

U.S. Department of Justice

A2

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE:

Office:

Miami

Date:

OCT 18 2000

.IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Permanent Residence Pursuant to Section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of

November 2, 1966 (P.L. 89-732)

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

identifying data datased to prevent clearly unwananted invesion of personal primary

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, EXAMINATIONS

Terrance M. O'Reilly, Director Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, Miami, Florida, who certified his decision to the Associate Commissioner, Examinations, for review. The district director's decision will be affirmed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Venezuela who filed this application for adjustment of status to that of a lawful permanent resident under section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966. This Act provides, in pertinent part:

[T]he status of any alien who is a native or citizen of Cuba and who has been inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States subsequent to January 1, 1959 and has been physically present in the United States for at least one year, may be adjusted by the Attorney General, in his discretion and under such regulations as he may prescribe, to that of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if the alien makes an application for such adjustment, and the alien is eligible to receive an immigrant visa and is admissible to the United States for permanent residence. The provisions of this Act shall be applicable to the spouse and child of any alien described in this subsection, regardless of their citizenship and place of birth, who are residing with such alien in the United States.

The district director determined that the applicant was not eligible for adjustment of status as the child of a native or citizen of Cuba pursuant to section 1 of the Act of November 2, 1966, because she is over 21 years of age and no longer a "child" for the purposes of section 1 of the Act. The district director, therefore, denied the application.

On notice of certification, the applicant states that she filed her application for adjustment of status, along with her parents' and brother's applications, in October 1996 when she was 18 years old. She indicates that she was informed by the Service in November 1997 that although she was listed in the Service computer system, her file had been misplaced and couldn't be found. She further indicates that after several inquires, she finally received an appointment with the Service on June 23, 1998; however, nearly three years after her application, she was denied resident status because of her age. The applicant states that without blame to anyone, the fault is not hers.

The statute clearly states that the provisions of section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act shall be applicable to the spouse and child of any alien described in this subsection. In order that the applicant may be eligible for the benefits of section 1 of the Act of November 2, 1966, he or she must be the spouse of a native or citizen of Cuba who has been inspected and admitted or paroled into

the United States, has made an application for such adjustment under section 1 of the Act, is eligible to receive an immigrant visa, and is admissible to the United States for permanent residence. See Matter of Milian, 13 I&N Dec. 480 (Acting Reg. Comm. 1970). See also Section 2 of the Act of November 2, 1966; Matter of Benguria Y Rodriquez, 12 I&N Dec. 143 (Reg. Comm. 1967).

The applicant was born on March 24, 1977 in Venezuela to a Cuban mother and Venezuelan father. The record reflects that on October 8, 1996, the applicant and her mother, a native of Cuba and citizen of Venezuela, filed their applications for adjustment of status under section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act. On July 22, 2000, the director denied the petition after determining that the petitioner is over the age of 21 and she no longer qualifies as the child of a Cuban under section 1.

The term "child," as defined in section 101(b)(1) of the Act, means an unmarried person under twenty-one years of age. On March 24, 1998, prior to the adjudication of the application for permanent residence, the applicant turned twenty-one years of age, thus rendering her ineligible for the benefit sought. An alien must be eligible, at the time her application is acted on, for the preference category relied on when the application was filed. See Matter of Hernandez-Puente, 20 I&N Dec. 335 (BIA 1991).

Accordingly, the applicant is ineligible for adjustment of status to permanent resident pursuant to section 1 of the Act of November 2, 1966. The decision of the district director to deny the application will be affirmed.

This decision is without prejudice to the filing of a Relative Immigrant Visa Petition (Form I-130) by the applicant's mother on behalf of the applicant.

ORDER: The district director's decision is affirmed.